Upon replacement of the ester functionality by ketone functionality in α-hydroxy carbonyl compounds dramatic structural changes of donor-functionalized alkoxides of aluminium are observed: complete reversal of the stereochemistry of the aluminium alkoxide adduct formation, dissociation of the five-coordinate dimer to a monomeric four-coordinate chelate complex; the first example of an aluminium Cram-type chelate complex derived from the reaction of Et₃Al with an equimolar amount of rac-acetoin is reported.

Organometallic chelate compounds have been considered as intermediates in the often highly stereoselective reactions of nucleophilic addition to carbonyl compounds and more recently for radical reactions. Recently, organoaluminium compounds have emerged as useful reagents for radical reactions, including controlling the stereoselectivity of reactions of hydroxy ester enolate and hydroxy ether radicals. In several cases the formation of monomeric four-coordinate aluminium chelate complexes have been proposed to account for the observed stereoselectivity, which contrast with the well-known tendency of dialkylaluminium compounds derived from donor-functionalized alcohols (HOX) to form dimeric five-coordinate complexes, [R₂Al(OX)]₂. It is worth noting that although five-coordination is almost exclusively observed in the solid state, this group of compounds exhibits a considerably greater structural variety in solution depending on the nature of the bifunctional ligand. For example, dialkyaluminium derivatives of saturated α- and β-hydroxy carbonyls maintain a dimeric five-coordinate structure in solution, however, they are nonrigid and dissociation of the Al–O chelate bonds gives rise to the rapid interchange of the chelating groups between two aluminium centres whilst the central Al₂O₃ bridging ring remains intact.

As a part of our research program directed towards the understanding of factors controlling the structure and reactivity of organoaluminium complexes, we have become interested in the synthesis of aluminium alkoxides derived from chiral donor-functionalized alcohols. Very recently, we have shown that the formation of the [R₂Al(O,O’)]₂ adduct is a highly stereoselective reaction, e.g., in the equimolar reaction of ethyl rac-lactate (elactH) with Me₂Al only monomeric units of the same configuration as the chiral centre in the chelating ligand associate with each other forming only the (R,R)- and (S,S)-Me₂Al[elact]₂ diastereomers. This paper presents our initial findings on the synthesis and structural characterisation of the dialkyaluminium derivative of rac-acetoin (acetH), a chiral α-hydroxy ketone. The replacement of the ester group by ketone in the α-hydroxy carbonyl compounds studied leads to a complete reversal of the stereochemistry of the aluminium alkoxide adduct formed. A particularly significant point of the resulting product is its occurrence in an equilibrium mixture of monomer rac-Et₂Al(acet) 1a and dimer (R,S)-[Et₂Al(acet)]₂ 1b in solution (Scheme 1). Furthermore, 1a represents a rare example of a stable Cram-type chelate.

The interaction of Et₂Al with an equimolar amount of rac-acetoin (acetH) in CH₂Cl₂ at −78 °C results in ethane evolution and the quantitative formation of rac-[Et₂Al(acet)] 1 (were n = 1, 1a, or 2, 1b). After a standard work up at room temperature, compound 1 is obtained initially as a liquid, changing over several hours to the solid state. H, 13C and 27Al NMR and IR spectroscopic data provided information about the structural variety in solution depending on the nature of the structural features of compound 1 both in solution and in the solid state. Thus, both the 1H NMR spectrum of the post reaction mixture and cryoscopic determination of the liquid crude product revealed that initially the reaction product consists essentially of monomer 1a, whereas the solid product, dissolved in benzene, was identified as the dimer 1b. For example, the 1H NMR spectrum of 1b in a freshly prepared CD₃Cl solution (4.7% by weight) at 20 °C shows a quartet and a doublet for the CH proton and its adjacent methyl group, respectively, both associated with the chiral centre, as well as two well separated resonances for both methylene and methyl protons of the Al–CH₂CH₃ groups. After several minutes at 20 °C a new set of signals appears in the spectrum: a doublet of quartets for the Al–CH₃CH₂ protons, a quartet associated with the Al–CH₂CH₃ protons and a quartet and doublet of the protons associated with the chiral centre. The new signals were assigned to the monomeric chelate complex 1a. It should be noted that the observed 1H NMR patterns are simpler than expected due to the diastereotopic methyl protons of the Al–CH₂CH₃ groups, which in our opinion reflect a dynamic behaviour of the studied species in solution (see below). In time the resonances associated with 1b decrease and those from 1a increase, and the monomer–dimer equilibrium (Scheme 1) is established within three days. The dissociation of 1b to the monomeric species was verified by cryoscopic molecular weight investigations in benzene solution which gave results fully consistent with the 1H NMR measurements. The rate for the dissociation of dimer to monomers in acetone is first order with respect to the equilibrium constant at 20 °C, based upon 1H NMR measurements, are k₁ = 0.026 h⁻¹ and Kₑ = 0.73 mol dm⁻³, respectively. The plot of ln Kₑ vs. 1/T yields ΔH° = −4.0 ± 1.3 kJ mol⁻¹ and ΔS° = −16.7 ± 4.3 J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹. Furthermore, the 13C NMR spectra of 1a and 1b at ambient temperature complement the 1H NMR data. For example, the spectrum of 1a shows one type of carbon resonance each for the methylene and methyl carbons of the ethyl groups of the Al–CH₂CH₃ groups, while in 1b they appear as two resonances each. Furthermore, the presence of two well separated ethyl resonances in the 1H and 13C NMR spectra of 1b even at higher temperature (up to 60 °C) indicates the trans structure for this adduct, i.e., only monomeric units of the opposite configuration as the chiral centre in the chelating ligand associate with
each other. Very recently we have revealed a nonrigid structure for the analogous five-coordinate adduct derived from an α-hydroxy ester, \( (R^*, R^*)\)-\([\text{Me}_2\text{Al}](\text{elac})]\), and therefore it is reasonable to assume dynamic behaviour for dimer \(1b\) in solution. However, in the case of the \(R,S\) adduct the interchange of the coordinated carbonyl groups between the two aluminium atoms leads to no interchange of ethylaluminium environments and the trans complex will display different ethyl group resonances over a wide temperature range. According to our findings for the diethylaluminium derivative of ethyl rac-lactate, a different NMR pattern at ambient temperature should be expected for the nonrigid \(R,R\) and \(S,S\) dimers. Additionally, it is interesting to note that an upfield shift of the \(^{27}\)Al NMR resonance is observed with the rearrangement of the five-coordinate adduct \(1b\) (δ 131) to the four-coordinate complex \(1a\) (δ 122); thus, the shift is opposite to the expected coordination number effects.

We conducted a crystal structure analysis of \(1b\) to further substantiate the geometrical molecular arrangement. The structure determination reveals that \(1b\) crystallises in the \(P1\) space group with two unique dinuclear molecules in the unit cell, which reside on the centres of inversion. The two independent molecules have almost identical geometry, but in one molecule some disorder in the region of the methyl groups was observed. Therefore we discuss here the geometrical parameters of the non-disordered molecule. The oxygen-bridged dimeric molecule wherein the aluminium atoms are five-coordinate (Fig. 1) show \(C_i\) point group symmetry and the methyl group bonded to the chiral C-atom in the \(\mu_3\) bridging acetonato ligands lie on the opposite side of the plane outlined by three fused heterocyclic rings. Thus, in the case of the dialkylaluminium derivative of acetox-ethyl adduct formation leads exclusively to the \((R,S)-[\text{R}_2\text{Al}(\text{O},\text{O}^\prime)]\)-type diastereomer, contrary to the result mentioned above for the five-coordinated adduct derived from a chiral α-hydroxy ester.\(^7\) The observed change in stereoeluctivity upon sterically neutral substitution of saturated donor-functionalized alcohols indicate a unique stereoelectronic control in adduct formation and the nature of this phenomena is a subject of further study. Additionally, the solid structure of \(1b\) is of the same morphology as reported for the dialkylaluminium alkoxides derived from donor-functionalized alcohols.\(^8\) The aluminium atoms adopt a distorted trigonal bipyramidal geometry with the most significant distortion found for the angle defined by axial substituents [\(\text{O}(2)-\text{Al}(1)-\text{O}(1')\), 152.63(9)°]. For \(1b\) corresponding \(\text{Al}-\text{O}\) distances \([1.845(2), 1.933(2)\) and 2.166(2) Å] are roughly the same as for the related hydroxy ester derivative, \((R^*, R^*)\)-\([\text{Me}_2\text{Al}](\text{elac})]\) \([1.848(2), 1.936(2)\) and 2.157(2) Å, respectively].\(^7\)

In conclusion, our study unambiguously shows that replacement of the ester functional group by ketone can have a profound effect on the aggregation behaviour of donor-functionalized aluminums. Therefore, when one considers the mechanism of reaction involving an organo-aluminium reagent-donor-functionalized alcohol system then the aggregation state of the intermediates has to be considered. Further, the subject of this investigation gives potentially unique opportunities for a facile comparison of the Cram cyclic model for nucleophilic additions (especially for mild C-nucleophiles which do not destroy chelation) based on the monomeric four-coordinate and dimeric five-coordinate chelate complexes.\(^9\)
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Notes and references

† Synthesis and selected data for \(1a\) and \(1b\). To a suspension of rac-acetoin (7.0 mol%) in \(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\) (15 cm³) was added dropwise \(\text{Et}_3\text{Al}(7.0\) mol%) at −78 °C. After the addition was complete the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. The solvent was then removed under vacuum, leaving a colourless viscous liquid, and \(\text{H}^1\) NMR spectroscopy showed it to consist of predominantly monomeric species. After dissolution of the crude product in hexane at room temperature and gradual cooling of the solution to −10 °C, the crystals formed were collected by filtration. Calc. for \(\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{20}\text{AlO}_2\): C, 55.80; H, 9.95. Found: C, 55.9; H, 9.8%. \(\text{H}^1\) NMR (\(\text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2\), 20 °C) \(1a\) 0.24 (4H, \(\delta\) 4.49, \(\text{Al}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O})\)), 1.08 (3H, \(\delta\) 0.88, \(\text{Al}-\text{CH}_3\)), 1.15 (3H, \(\delta\) 0.68, \(\text{Al}-\text{CH}_3\)), 1.27 (6H, \(\delta\) 0.34, \(\text{Al}-\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\)), 1.33 (6H, \(\delta\) 0.78, \(\text{Al}-\text{CH}_3\)), 1.44 (4H, \(\delta\) 1.19, \(\text{Al}-\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\)), 2.22 (2H, \(\delta\) 4.12, \(\text{Al}-\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\)), 4.14 (2H, \(\delta\) 3.40, \(\text{Al}-\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\)). \(\text{C}^6\) NMR (\(\text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2\), 20 °C) \(1a\) 2.93 (br, \(\delta\) 17.41 (\(\text{CH}_2\)), 74.67 (OCH), 217.87 (CO); \(1b\) 0.87 (br, \(\delta\) 17.41 (\(\text{CH}_2\)), 74.67 (OCH), 217.87 (CO); \(1b\) NMR: \(\delta\) 122 and 131 for \(1a\) and \(1b\), respectively. IR (\(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\)): \(\nu\) 3444 (C-H, \(\delta\) 1692 cm\(^{-1}\)), 2247 (CH\(_3\)), \(\nu\) (C=O) 1692 cm\(^{-1}\).}

Fig. 1 ORTEP\(^{10}\) plot of the molecular structure of \((R,S)-[\text{Et}_2\text{Al}(\text{acet})]\) \(1b\) with thermal ellipsoids drawn at 30% probability level. Bottom: view of the molecule along a mean plane formed by the heterocyclic rings. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Atoms labelled with prime belong to the centrosymmetric counterparts of the dimeric unit. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): \(\text{Al}(1)-\text{O}(1)\) 1.8452(18), \(\text{Al}(1)-\text{O}(1’)\) 1.9328(19), \(\text{Al}(1)-\text{O}(2)\) 2.1662(2), \(\text{Al}(1)-\text{C}(5)\) 1.971(3), \(\text{Al}(1)-\text{C}(7)\) 1.987(3); \(\text{O}(1)-\text{Al}(1)-\text{C}(5)\) 119.11(12), \(\text{O}(1)-\text{Al}(1)-\text{C}(7)\) 117.12(13), \(\text{C}(5)-\text{Al}(1)-\text{C}(7)\) 122.26(15), \(\text{O}(2)-\text{Al}(1)-\text{O}(1’)\) 152.63(9), \(\text{O}(1’)-\text{Al}-\text{O}(1)’\) 75.88(8), \(\text{Al}(1’)-\text{O}(1’)-\text{Al}(1)’\) 104.12(8).


8 It is generally assumed that the observed $^{27}$Al NMR chemical shift is diagnostic of the compound coordination state and an upﬁeld shift is expected with increasing coordination number for a given class of compounds. R. Benn, A. Ruﬁńska, H. Lehmkuhl, E. Janssen and C. Kruger, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1983, 22, 779; R. Benn, E. Janssen, H. Lehmkuhl and A. Ruﬁńska, J. Organomet. Chem., 1987, 333, 152.

9 Very recently this concept was successfully used with titanium reagents, see G. Bartoli, M. C. Bellucci, M. Bosco, E. Marcantoni and L. Sambri, Chem. Eur. J., 1998, 4, 2154.